archived as <a href="http://www.stealthskater.com/Documents/Monopole\_02.doc">http://www.stealthskater.com/Documents/Monopole\_02.doc</a> (also ...Monopole\_02.pdf) => doc pdf URL-doc URL-pdf

more of this topic on the /Science.htm page at doc pdf URL

note: because important websites are frequently "here today but gone tomorrow", the following was archived from <a href="http://www.ias.ac.in/resonance/Dec2005/pdf/Dec2005p193-202.pdf">http://www.ias.ac.in/resonance/Dec2005/pdf/Dec2005p193-202.pdf</a> on October 23, 2009. This is <a href="NOT">NOT</a> an attempt to divert readers from the aforementioned website. Indeed, the reader should <a href="only read">only</a> read this back-up copy if the updated original cannot be found at the original author's site.

# Dirac's Conception of the Magnetic Monopole and its Modern Avatars

## by Sunil Mukhi

Resonance / December, 2005

(Sunil Mukhi is a Professor at Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai. His research interests are string theory, quantum field theory, quantum gravity, and supersymmetry.)

#### Electricity, Magnetism, and Duality

A curious high-school student in the early 1930s might have speculated about the existence of magnetic monopoles. There is no record of such a student. But if she had existed, she could reasoned as follows.

She would have learned that atoms are made of electrons whirling around a central core (the nucleus). The electron has a negative electrical charge while the nucleus has a positive charge. Opposite charges attract each other via the electrostatic force which causes the electrons to stay in their orbits around the nucleus.

Now it is quite easy to connect a pair of charged spheres with an insulating rod. One sphere can be given a positive charge and the other an equal amount of negative charge. Thus the whole object has no net electric charge. It is called an **electric dipole**.

One student's science teacher might have brought a set of such electric dipoles to class and carried out the following experiment. When the positively-charged ends of two dipoles are brought close together, they repel. The same is true of the negatively-charged ends. But when we bring the positive end of one dipole and the negative end of another together, they repel.

After keenly observing these experiments, the student might have noticed a similarity with magnetism. What we call the 'North' and 'South' poles of a bar magnet behave just like the positively-and negatively-charged ends of the electric dipole. For bar magnets, we know that like poles repel and unlike poles attract. What is more, the force between 2 bar magnets shows the same variation with distance and angle as the force between 2 electric dipoles.

If our student had a flair for clever-sounding expressions, she might have described this similarity as **electric-magnetic duality**. By this, she would have meant that upon replacing all the electric objects in an experiment by their magnetic counterparts, the physical behavior of the system remains the same.

Though please at her originality, her science teacher would regretfully have pointed out a flaw in the "duality" idea. If we cut an electric dipole in two by breaking the insulating rod, we recover the charged spheres that we started with. Each of these has a net electric charge (one is positive, the other negative) and can be called an **electric monopole**.

On the other hand, when we cut a bar magnet in two, we end up not with 2 magnetic monopoles but with 2 smaller bar magnets, each having a North pole at one end and a South pole at the other. So electric and magnetic dipoles do not behave the same way when we cut them. This seems to be bad news for "duality".

The student feels that her idea was too elegant to be rejected so quickly. She point out that all we need to restore electric-magnetic duality is for magnetic monopoles to exist Nature just as electric monopoles exist. We do not find magnetic monopoles when we cut a bar magnet. But there might well be other ways of producing them.

While the above anecdote is hypothetical, one of the most creative minds of that period probably went through a similar thought process and came to a similar conclusion. But when Paul Adrien Mauric Dirac came to write about his theoretical findings in 1931, he expressed the idea rather differently.

#### **Dirac's Monopole Paper**

On May 29, 1931, Dirac submitted a paper to the Royal Society with the title "Quantized Singularities in the Electromagnetic Field". This was to be the first significant publication on the subject of magnetic monopoles.

Just a few years earlier in 1928, Dirac had proposed a wave equation for the electron that satisfied the principles of Special Relativity. By mathematical analysis of his equation, he had found --somewhat to his surprise -- that it predicated the existence of a new particle similar to the electron but of opposite electric charge. Such a particle (the **positron**) was discovered by Carl Anderson in 1932.

Preliminary experimental results had been obtained by Anderson in the summer of 1931. But it is not clear (at least to the author) whether Dirac knew of these results at the time that he submitted his monopole paper. Certainly he did not refer to them. But a consistent theoretical picture of anti-particles had emerged by then and Dirac seemed satisfied that the idea of anti-particles was correct.

The lesson that Dirac drew from the anti-particle story was that mathematical consistency could be used to predict a new elementary particle. It was becoming too difficult to develop theoretical physics in the direct way by analyzing experimental results and proposing theoretical formulae to fit them. He suggested that "the theoretical worker in the Future will therefore have to proceed in a more indirect way" by trying to "perfect and generalize the mathematical formulation that forms the existing basis of theoretical physics". This could lead to new theoretical predictions and experiments would only be invoked at a later stage to confirm them.

With this belief, Dirac decided to go deep into the foundations of Quantum Theory and investigate the meaning of "charge". He was hoping to explain the quantization of electric charge (i.e., the fact that it always appears in whole multiples of a basic unit). He did not find such an explanation but instead stumbled on something closely related -- a law relating the quanta of electric and magnetic charge now known as **Dirac's quantization condition**. In the process, he provided the first convincing argument that magnetic monopoles are consistent objects within the framework of Quantum Mechanics.

According to QM, the probability amplitude for a particle is given by a wave function (a complex number at each point of space). The phase part of this complex number is a subtle quantity. It doest not contribute to the absolute square of the wave function which tells us the probability that a particle is in a given place. But it contributes if we first superpose 2 different wave functions (with different phases) and then square them. The phase is the source of all phenomena in Quantum Mechanics that go by the name of interference.

Dirac put this phase factor under his formidable intellectual microscope. Did it have to be "integrable"? This amounted to asked whether -- if the phase of a wave function was followed while traversing a closed path -- it came back to its original valur or not. If not, it was said to be "non-integrable".

Dirac concluded that non-integrable phase factors were allowed in Quantum Mechanics. But they could only arise if an electromagnetic field was present. An ordinary physicist might have stopped at this very reasonable conclusion. But Dirac then went one step further.

He noted that any angle (including the phase of the wave function) is defined only up to the addition of multiples of  $2\pi$ . Different closed paths could -- in principle -- lead to different jumps in the wave function as long as all the jumps were multiples of this unit. His analysis revealed that this in turn was possible only if at some point in space there was a magnetic monopole. A line in space (that Dirac called a "**nodal line**") would emanate from the monopole and the phases of wave functions would jump or not depending on whether the closed path encircled this nodal line. This line was later to be dubbed the "**Dirac** string".

In order for the jumps in the phase to actually be multiples of  $2\pi$ , the monopole had to have a charge g which -- together with the smallest electric charge e in the Universe -- obeyed the equation eg = h/2 where h is Planck's constant.

With considerable satisfaction, Dirac concluded that not only can magnetic monopoles exist but if they do, then also the electric charge in the Universe in quantized. The minimum electric charge is determined by the value of the magnetic charge.

Having presented this extraordinary insight, Diract concluded his paper on a modest note: the object had been to show that "Quantum Mechanics does not really preclude the existence of isolated magnetic poles". No change in quantum mechanical theory was required; just a deeper understanding of it. Dirac felt that this was good enough for magnetic monopoles to exist. They were permitted by his analysis and he "would be surprised if Nature had made no use of it".

In his paper, Dirac also noted that the existence of magnetic monopoles would restore electric-magnetic duality. As he put it: "the theoretical reciprocity between electricity and magnetism is perfect".

Dirac's proposal of the monopole led to a number of interesting developments. One of these was the observation (due to Meghnad Saha) that a particle could in principle have both electric and magnetic charge. Such a particle would be called a **dyon**. This quantization condition on its charges as derived by Saha turned out to be an elegant generalization of the one proposed by Dirac for the monopole.

## **Magnetic Monopoles in Field Theory**

The landscape of theoretical physics changed rapidly through the middle of the 20<sup>th</sup> Century. By the 1960s, it was clear that Quantum Mechanics alone was not enough to understand all process involving

elementary particles. The incorporation of Special Relativity inevitably required the possibility of article creation and destruction. This in turn required a formalism that was not based on individual particles (as those could be created and destroyed) but rather on **fields of force**. These became the fundamental building blocks and the resulting **Quantum Field Theory** is the basic tool by which the physics of elementary particles is understood today.

Besides the electromagnetic field, there are other forces by which elementary particles interact. In particular, the **weak** and **strong nuclear forces**. These are described by a generalization of electromagnetism called **gauge theory** (or Yang-Mills theory).

In the 1970s, Gerard 't Hooft in the Netherlands and Alexander Polyakov in Russia were studying the properties of Yang-Mills theories when they encountered a surprising result. In these theories, one could find associations of the Y-M field which at large distances looked just like Dirac's magnetic monopoles. However, at short distances they looked very different with all kinds of other fields besides the electromagnetic one being excited. These were novel examples of **solitons** -- localized and relatively stable field excitations that behaved much like elementary particles.

A remarkable feature of these 't Hooft-Polyakov monopoles is that they do not possess the nodal line or Dirac string. The latter had been a somewhat undesirable feature of the Dirac monopole. Although it could not be directly observed, it had to be present somewhere in space and seemed rather arbitrary. The new Yang-Mills monopoles were smooth lumps carrying magnetic charge but with no strings coming out.

The 't Hooft-Polyakov monopole later found a natural setting in the context of **Grand Unified Theories**. According to these rather speculative theories, the early Universe was in a highly symmetric phase where electromagnetism as such did not have a meaning. As the Universe cooled, the force of electromagnetism "emerged" from the more complicated Yang-Mills field. When this happened, magnetic monopoles could be forms. Inside the monopoles, the world would look like the symmetric phase of the unified theory. But outside the monopoles, we would see ordinary electromagnetism. The monopole would be a sort of "twist" or "defect" in space.

In most theories, the number of such monopoles that were created when the Universe cooled would have been rather small. Since they are now distributed over the entire Universe, their density would be too small for us to ever detect them unless we were especially lucky. Indeed, many attempts have been made to detect magnetic monopoles but to date, none have been found. Much as this is disappointing, it seems to be consistent with the calculations of unified theories. In this context, we could well accept that monopoles exist in Nature but are too scarce to be observed.

Another class of unified theories that allow magnetic monopole excitations are the **higher dimensional** or Kaluza-Klein theories. It is an old speculation that the real World intrinsically has more than 3 spatial dimensions. The ones that we do not see are supposed to be "compact" (i.e., curled up on themselves in small circles). In such theories, it was found by Rafael Sorkin, David Gross, and Malcom Perry that one can find excitations of the gravitational field which behaves like magnetic monopoles at large distances from a central "core".

Inside the core, space actually appears to have a higher dimension! But out it, we are in normal space. It began to look as if monopoles were natural in any field theory although Dirac had only postulated them in the context of the Quantum Mechanics of the early 1930s.

### **Extended Monopoles**

To write a mathematical description of magnetic monopoles as field excitations, we start by assuming that the excitation is localized (like a "lump"). Then we solve the corresponding "field equations". Indeed, the simplest monopoles are spherical, making the equations relatively simple to solve.

However, a different starting assumption leads to field excitations that are extended. Suppose that we look for an excitation that is narrow in 2 spatial directions but extended for a large distance along one direction. It looks rather like a narrow tube.

Inside this tube, the fields are highly excited just as they are in the core of a monopole. Such an object can be called a **soliton string** because of its shape. Such things are not exotic as we might think. For example, a tornado (or "twister") is a naturally-occurring object very much like a soliton string.

In suitable field theories, there are soliton strings that carry a fixed magnetic charge per unit length. They are "magnetic strings" (rather like extended magnetic monopoles). Objects of this type are sometimes called "cosmic strings" and might also have been produced in the early Universe when it cooled.

Can we find field excitations that are extended in 2 spatial directions like a sheet? Such objects too are known and are called "membranes" (or "domain walls") because they can extend over very large areas like a wall dividing space into regions.

Like solution lumps and soliton strings, those too can carry magnetic charge. If we crossed the domain wall, the Universe would appear to change its physical properties. For example, on one side there could be pervasive magnetic fields which are absent on the other side due to the magnetic charge stored in the wall. Such domain walls are popular in some cosmological theories which require different parts of the Universe to obey seemingly different laws of physics.

The most contemporary class of unified theories is the **theory of strings**. This theory postulates that all the fundamental particles are really tiny loops of a "fundamental string" and that vibrations of these strings in different modes lead to the observed differences among particles. Such theories typically require space to have a large number of independent dimensions (such as 9 rather than the experimentally-observed 3).

In these theories, there is lots of room to make objects that are extended in 1,2,3,... dimensions. These are called "**branes**" (i.e., a word derived from membranes). Some of these are magnetically-charged; others have both electric and magnetic charge. They are central to the structure of string theory which moreover has a large set of duality symmetries incorporating electric-magnetic duality. In this sense, modern avatars of Dirac's monopole play a central role in our conception of Nature today.

#### **Conclusions**

Dirac's philosophy of mathematical elegance led to his pioneering work on magnetic monopoles and inspired a large number of subsequent developments. It remains true that magnetic monopoles have never been detected despite numerous attempts. But after all, it was Dirac who once remarked: "It is more important to have beauty in one's equations than to have them fit experiment". One might therefore assume that he was more than satisfied with the impact of this work.

This is not quite the case. Towards the end of his life, the complete lack of experimental evidence for magnetic monopoles began to weigh on him. In 1981 (a year short of his 80<sup>th</sup> birthday), he was invited to Trieste for a conference to commemorate the 50<sup>th</sup> anniversary of his monopole papers.

He declines the invitation due to the strain of traveling but sent a letter of thanks to Abdus Salam, the Nobel Laureate and Director of the Centre at Trieste. In this letter, Dirac wrote: "I am inclined now to believe that monopoles do not exist. So many years have gone by without any encouragement from the experimental side."

Dirac passed away nearly 2 decades ago. But it remains to be determined who was right about monopoles. Dirac in 1931 or Dirac in 1981?

if on the Internet, Press <BACK> on your browser to return to the previous page (or go to www.stealthskater.com)

else if accessing these files from the CD in a MS-Word session, simply <CLOSE> this file's window-session; the previous window-session should still remain 'active'